Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295)

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice) Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street

Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Telephone: (612) 340-2600

Facsimile: (612) 340-2868

Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800

100 Southeast Second Street

Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Blvd.

Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

SCO'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO IBM'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY

Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells SCO respectfully submits this Memorandum in Opposition to IBM's Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Reply.

SCO opposes IBM's Motion because IBM has failed to make any showing of any need for an exception to the rule that the moving party, in this instance SCO, is entitled finally to respond to the non-moving party's arguments. IBM's proposed sur-reply contains nothing more than IBM's broad assertions that IBM's arguments are correct and that SCO's are wrong; the fact that IBM has sought leave to file a brief of up to 40 pages in length on the issue serves to underscore the impropriety of IBM's effort to have the "last word" in the briefing. IBM, like any non-moving party, is entitled to respond to SCO's arguments at oral argument. Nothing in IBM's proposed sur-reply warrants a departure from the normal sequence of briefing.

DATED this 20th day of April, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies Robert Silver Stuart H. Singer Stephen N. Zack Edward Normand

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Devan V. Padmanabhan

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Edward Normand

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff, International Business Machines Corporation on this 20th day of April, 2007, via CM/ECF to the following:

David Marriott, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. Snell & Wilmer LLP 1200 Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

/s/ Edward Normand